Dialysis in Asia

Past, Present and Future



Vivekanand Jha



ASIA

Population 4.46 billion

High income
 Upper middle income
 Lower middle income
 Low income

Where the other half lives

GDP per Capita (2010*)



Source: UNEP GEO Data Portal, as compiled from World Bank, UNPD

Dialysis: this is how it started



CARI Guidelines

Availability of resources should not be a reason to deny a patient access onto dialysis.

Decisions to recommend or not to recommend dialysis should not be influenced by either availability of resources or potential litigation.

CKD care is linked to economic development



Jha et al, Lancet 2013

Asia is heterogenous...

	Afghanistan	Laos	China	India	Singapore	Japan
GDP per capita (nominal; US\$) ¹	470	1,320	5,430	1,489	46,241	45,903
Total Health Expenditure per capita (US\$)	15	39	191	44	1,531	3,754

At < US\$60 per capita, difficult to deliver reasonable minimum services (WHO, 2000)

World Development Indicators 2011 World Health Statistics 2012

Regional variations in health status in Asia

Life expectancy	Asian countries	Chinese province	Indian state
75 – 79	Singapore, Korea	Shanghai, Beijing	Kerala
70 – 74	Malaysia, Vietnam, Sri Lanka	Zhejiang, 20 others	Punjab
65 – 69	Philippines, Thailand, Indonesia, Bangla Desh	Inner Mongolia, 6 others	Maharashtra, 5 others
60 – 64	Laos, Myanmar, Pakistan	Tibet	AP, 7 others

Developing countries of Asia are seeing dramatic transitions

- Political, socio-economic transition
 - rapid industrialisation
 - rising affluence
- Demographic transition
 - low fertility, rural-urban migration, ageing
- Nutritional transition
- Epidemiological transition

The impact of population transitions

- Better education, consciousness of human rights, democratic environment
- Increasing middle class, booming private sector, market forces
 - Increasing demand for better care
 - Labor force distortions for production/distribution of HCWs
- Financial crisis, depreciation of currencies
 - Increased cost of imported drugs/supplies

Reduced access to the most vulnerable

Demographic Transition Populations are ageing throughout Asia



Percent GDP spent on healthcare



Healthcare Models Around the World

• Bismarck Model:

- Germany, Japan, France, Belgium, Switzerland, Latin America

Beveridge Model:

- Great Britain, Italy, Spain, Cuba, US VA, Medicare

National Health Insurance Model:

- Canada, Taiwan, S Korea

Out-of-Pocket model:

- India, China, Thailand, Vietnam, Africa

Epidemiological Transition

Burden of diseases (age-standardized DALYs per 100,000)	Vietnam	China	B'Desh	Japan	Nepal
Communicable diseases, maternal, perinatal and nutritional causes	4,510	2,816	10,857	562	12,301
NCD s	10,810	10,829	13,762	6,497	14,939

WHO 2009

CKD in Asia: How good are the data?



Registry name (common abbreviation), year of establishment	Accessibility	Patient-level data availability	Treatments	Out- comes
Hong Kong Renal Registry (HKRR), 1995	+	+	+++	+++
Korean Renal Registry, 1985	++	++	+++	+++
Malaysian National Renal Registry (NRR), 1993	++	+++	+++	+++
Shanghai Dialysis Registry, 1996	+	+	++	+++
Singapore Renal Registry, 2001	+++	++	+++	+++
Taiwan Renal Registry Data System (TWRDS), 1987	+	+	+++	+
Thailand Renal Replacement Therapy Registry (TRT), 1997	++	+	+++	+

Accessibility: +++ (good): information including annual reports, publications and aggregate data accessible via website, publicly available records, or with assistance from registry staff. ++ (moderate): information in local language only or limited publicly available information including on website; with additional searches, basic information may be available in reports or in published research; more information may be accessible via third-party collaborators (e.g. registry researchers or local academics). + (limited/unclear): very limited information available publicly or unclear.

Patient-level data availability: +++: available to external researchers directly or through application and review; may include usage fee. ++: conditional access, e.g. via third-party collaborators. +: not available to external researchers or access process unclear.

Treatments: +++: submodality available. ++: modality available but not submodality. +: modality not available or availability unclear. Outcomes: +++: mortality/survival and/or hospitalization/complication data available. ++: mortality/survival or hospitalization/ complication data not reported; surrogates such as laboratory result data reported. +: no reported outcome or surrogate data or availability

unclear.

Prasad, Jha, Kidney Diseases 2015

vol 2 Figure11.2 Incidence rate of treated ESRD (per million population), by country, 2016

Talwar United States Jalisco (Mexico) 355 346 Thailand Singapore 333 Rep. of Korea 311 Japan Malaysia Greece Portugal Hungary Canada Guatemala 197 Brazil 194 191 iurae] Belgium, French sp. 188 187 Belgium, Dutch sp. Romania .75 Philippines 172 Hong Kong 171 Chile 169 Uruguay 166 France 165 165 Argentina Macedonia 164 Bulgaria 161 Polond 1.49Saudi Arabia 145 145 Italy Country Spain 142 Ruwait 141 140 Turkey Indonesia Austria 133Denmark 28 Slovakia 128 Gatar 123 5weden 121 United Kingdom^A 120 New Zealand 119 Australia Netherlands osnia and Herzegovina 113 Lithuania Norway 106Scotland 106 Finland 102 Switzerland 101 Serbia loeland 89 Latvia Extonia Albania Isan 81 Colombia 80 Peru Jordan Russia 58 Bangladesh Belarus 51 Ukraine South Africa 586 100 ESRD incidence rate (per million population/year)

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. All rates are unadjusted. Data for Belarus from 43 of 51 RRT centers. Data for Canada exclude Quebec. Data for France exclude Martinique. Data for Guatemala exclude pediatric ESRD patients and patients receiving non-institutional RRT. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for Italy representative of 35% (7 out of 19 regions) of ESRD patient population. Japan includes dialysis patients only. Data from Latvia representative of 80% of ESRD patient population. Data for Serbia approx. 30% less than reported in 2015 due to incomplete reporting. United Kingdom^: England, Wales, Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Abbreviations: ESRD, endstage renal disease; sp., speaking. NOTE: Data collection methods vary across countries, suggesting caution in making direct comparisons.

vol 2 Figure 11.3 Trends in the incidence rate of treated ESRD (per million population/year), by country, 2003-2016



(b) Average yearly change in the treated ESRD incidence rate from 2003-2016

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information were available. All rates are unadjusted. (a) Ten countries having the highest percentage rise in 2015-2016 versus that in 2003-2004, plus the United States. (b) Estimates derived from linear regression. Abbreviation: ESRD, end-stage renal disease. NOTE: Data collection methods vary across countries, suggesting caution in making direct comparisons.

vol 2 Figure 11.4 Incidence of treated ESRD due to diabetes as the assigned primary cause of ESRD cause, by country, 2016



(a) Percentage of incident ESRD patients

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information were available. Data for Belarus from 43 of 51 RRT centers. Data for Canada exclude Quebec. Data for France exclude Martinique. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for Italy representative of 35% (7 out of 19 regions) of ESRD patient population. Japan includes dialysis patients only. Data from Latvia representative of 80% of ESRD patient population. Data for Serbia approx. 30% less than reported in 2015 due to incomplete reporting. United Kingdom[^]: England, Wales, Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking. NOTE: Data collection methods vary across countries, suggesting caution in making direct comparisons.

2018 Annual Data Report Volume 2 ESRD, Chapter 11

vol 2 Figure 11.6 Country-level correlation of the percentage change in ESRD incidence with the percentage change in ESRD incidence due to diabetes, from 2003-2016, with countries displayed by region

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Reference line (in red) represents 1:1 ratio of percentage change in ESRD incidence rate due to diabetes and percentage change in ESRD incidence rate from 2003-2004 to2015-2016. Countries listed in order of lowest to highest percentage change in ESRD incidence due to diabetes in each panel. (a) Europe, Australia, New Zealand, and Israel: (-27-57%) Austria (AT), Belgium, Du. speaking (BE, du.), Finland (FI), Belgium, fr.speaking (BE, fr.), Norway (NO), Sweden (SE), Spain (ES), Denmark (DK), Israel (IS), Greece (GR), New Zealand (NZ), Netherlands (NL), Scotland (SCT), Australia (AU), United Kingdom (GB), and Bosnia and Herzegovina (BA); (b) North and Latin America: (2-45%) Uruguay (UY), United States (US), Argentina (AG) Canada (CA), Jalisco (Mexico, MX-JAL); (c) Asia and Russia: (18-360%) Japan (JP), Taiwan (TW), Hong Kong (HK), Singapore (SG), Rep. of Korea (KR), Malaysia (MY), Philippines (PH), Russia (RU). Abbreviation: ESRD, end-stage renal disease. NOTE: Data collection methods vary across countries, suggesting caution in making direct comparisons.



vol 2 Figure 11.14 Trends in the prevalence of dialysis (per million population), by country, 2003-2016

(a) Ten countries having the highest percentage rise in dialysis prevalence rate in 2003-2004 versus that in 2015-2016, plus the United States



Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. (a) Ten countries having the highest percentage rise in dialysis prevalence: 2015-2016 versus that in 2003-2004, plus the United States. The prevalence is unadjusted and reflects prevalence of dialysis at the end of each year. (b) Estimates derived from linear regression. Abbreviation: ESRD, end-stage renal disease. NOTE: Data collection methods vary across countries, suggesting caution in making direct comparisons.

> 2018 Annual Data Report Volume 2 ESRD, Chapter 11

vol 2 Figure 11.15 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or peritoneal dialysis (CAPD/APD/IPD), 2016

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Denominator was calculated as the sum of patients receiving HD, PD, Home HD; does not include patients with other/unknown modality. Data for Belarus from 43 of 51 RRT centers. Data for Canada exclude Quebec. Data for France exclude Martinique. Data for Guatemala exclude pediatric ESRD patients and patients receiving non-institutional RRT. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for Italy representative of 35% (7 out of 19 regions) of ESRD patient population. Data from Latvia representative of 80% of ESRD patient population. United Kingdom[^]: England, Wales, Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Abbreviations: CAPD, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; APD, automated peritoneal dialysis; IPD, intermittent peritoneal dialysis. NOTE: Data collection methods vary across countries, suggesting caution in making direct comparisons.



2018 Annual Data Report Volume 2 ESRD, Chapter 11

Dialysis according to world regions



Linayage, Ninomiya, Jha, et al. Lancet 2015

Difference between numbers of people on RRT and people possibly requiring RRT



Driving Forces

Demand

Supply

Noncommunicable disease Communicable dis burden Ageing

Technology infrastructure Workforce specialization

Catch-up Increased access Expectations

Healthcare financing Payment systems

Introduction of any resource-intensive intervention deepens prevailing inequities in the society



.....and leads to catastrophic healthcare expenditure.

.....about 188 million people experience catastrophic health expenditure annually as a result of kidney diseases across LMICs, the greatest of any disease group.

Essue, B.M., et al., *Economic Burden of Chronic III Health and Injuries for Households in Low-and Middle-Income Countries*. 2018, World Bank

What happens when financial barrier is removed – treatment costs increase!



Courtesy: Kriang Tungsanga

Patient outcomes (2008-12, n=13,118)



Shaikh M, et al Kidney Int 2018

Dialysis burden in developing countries of Asia





Factors that impact dialysis initiation

- GDP per capita
- Percentage of GDP spent on health care
- Dialysis reimbursement rate
- Private for-profit share of dialysis provision
- Fragmentation of healthcare services
- Renal unit distribution
- Workforce availability



How will we grow?



Created by Twitter user @simongerman600 for The Demographics Group based on data from UN Population Prospects 2017

Projected growth in number of patients on RRT (2010 - 2030)



Global Projections for the Diabetes Epidemic: 2000-2030 (millions)



Unique accente of CKD in Acia Herbal Therapy Is Associated With the Risk of CKD in Adults

Jinn-Yuh (

Bac

Stu Set Pre

Out

Res 13.2% analg

indep and C therap

interv 0.004 Lin

Col

analg

Am J

cases betwe

Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene (2007) 101, 1013-1017

available at www.sciencedirect.com ScienceDirect Environ Geochem Health DOI 0] 'Cursed' Uddanam cries for help Siva G, TNN Aug 10, 2009, 05.16am IST Chroni Sri Lar irı UDDANAM (Srikakulam): From outside, the Uddana with coconut plantations and cashew nurseries looks Kamani P. there. For, the people of Uddanam Kaviti, Kanchili, S Rajitha W J. N Icchapuram mandals believe they are "cursed." So mu even fear to visit these mandals. **D**. I **T.** *I*

> The reason? A staggering 3,200 people have died of k years in these mandals. Notwithstanding the lack of r urine samples, many families of the deceased persons government's apathy.



SOCIE

Ob

Adult prevalence in some Asian Countries

(overweight + obesity)



Climate Change



Italics: Countries where more than 90% of the losses or deaths occurred in one year or event.

Climate Risk Index: Ranking 1998 - 2017 1- 10 11 - 20 21 - 50 51 - 100 >100 No data

Low-end disruption



Time

"Disruptive Technology" by Megapixie at English Wikipedia



The Search for a Solution – the Affordable Dialysis Prize

Design an affordable dialysis machine - First prize \$100,000!

Created by TGI in 2015 a search for a new, disruptive, affordable dialysis system which

- is light, portable and runs on solar power
- can purify water from any source
- is just as safe and effective as conventional dialysis
- costs less than US\$1000 to manufacture and a few dollars a day to run

The George Institute

Reference: www.dialysisprize.org

A global expert judging panel considered entries from around

the world

Do just as good a job as traditional dialysis machines
 Run off rechargeable batteries and solar power

Flaws a target manufacturing cost of \$1000 and knw operating costs

... and unanimously chose a winner



arrell Family





THE AFFORDABLE DIALYSIS PRIZEUS\$100KAwarded to Vincent Garvey, March 2016



- The winning entry: prepares peritoneal dialysis fluid at the point of care using solar power and water from any source.
- Controlled by a smartphone.
- Cost: around \$500 for kit plus \$5 a day.





- Founded Dec 2016 to bring the winning invention to patients
- Owned by the George and Vincent Garvey
- Based at the George Institute in Sydney
- \$5.3m funding from NSW Health, a major charitable foundation, community donors, and George Health Enterprises



Marketplace challenges

- Risks and cost of regulatory approval
 - Fascination with "new" technology
 - "Fee-for-service" reimbursement
 - Lack of price transparency

Kellerman and Desai. The inventor's dilemma. Annals Intern Med Oct 13, 2015

ESRD incidence in Taiwan A Case Study



Yang, NDT 2008; 23:3977; Hwang, Nephrology 2010; Suppl 2; 3-9

Innovative funding models

- Pro-poor financing schemes
 - Need for clear eligibility policies
- Swadana (self-financing) hospitals: Indonesia
- Public-private partnerships
 - Hybrid forms of corporatized entities
- Innovative funding models: NGOs, religious groups, Rotary Int, SIUT
- Ensuring implementation of universal coverage

Supportive care



Conclusions

- There is a large (and increasing) unmet need of dialysis in Asia
- Determinants of dialysis access are largely non-medical
- Removal of financial barrier increases uptake/initiation on dialysis
- Insurance coverage does not remove all barriers to long-term care
- Residual inequities around dialysis initiation need addressing
- New models of care and care delivery might worsen inequity unless addressed specifically
- Measures to reduce cost of care run into (expected) headwinds!
- Re-conceptualize understanding of health: refocus on prevention, early detection



TITT

ISN WORLD CONGRESS OF NEPHROLOGY

March 26-30, 2020 Abu Dhabi, UAE

